# Statistical Issues in Microarray Experiments

George Casella University of Florida casella@stat.ufl.edu

Work done with Jim Booth and Sam Wu –Statistics John Davis and Janice Cooke – Forest Genetics

# Outline

- Brief Introduction to DNA Microarrays
- Example of up- and down-regulated genes
- Cell Cycle Analysis
- Fourier vs. SVD analysis
- Classification of Genes
- Final thoughts













Cell cycle analysis	Introduction
Today's topic: Analysis of yeast genome Determine which genes are "cell-cycle regulated" Technical report available at http://web.stat.ufl.edu/~jbooth	<ul> <li>Arose out of a genomics discussion group at the University of Florida. Two key papers are <ul> <li>Spellman et al. (1998), Molecular Biology of the Cell</li> <li>Alter et al. (2000), Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The papers concern statistical techniques for identifying and classifying cell cycle-regulated genes in the yeast genome; specifically <ul> <li>Fourier Analysis (Spellman et al.)</li> <li>Singular Value Decomposition (Alter et al.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Goals: <ul> <li>To explain and compare the statistical techniques used in the Spellman and Alter papers.</li> <li>Provide simpler, standard statistical techniques as alternatives.</li> <li>Develop new statistical tools for the analysis of this and similar data.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



# Yeast genome data **Yeast Genome Data** Several million yeast cells required to harvest enough RNA to produce a microarray • Synchronized population of cells produced by - elutriation (size-based) - alpha-pheromone arrest - temperature based arrest • 2-channel competitive hybridization - Treatment RNA (synchronized cells) used to to synthesize a cDNA-Cy5 labelled probe (red) - Control RNA (unsynchronized cells) used to to synthesize a cDNA-Cy3 labelled probe (green) Expression or intensity level measures the amount of cDNA "hybridized" to chip • Measurement is ratio of Cy5 to Cy3 expression levels $y = \log(\text{expression ratio})$ Why take logarithms? Symmetry: log(1/2) = -log(2)

### Microarray data

•

Normalization Issues

Microarray data can be thought of in terms of a matrix in which the rows represent genes and the columns represent different times or treatments.

- $y_{ij} = j$ th measurement (log expression ratio) on *i*th gene.
- $Y = \{y_{ij}\}$ , microarray matrix

	time/treatment				
gene	$t_1$	$t_2$	111	$t_m$	
1	y11	¥12	•••	$y_{1m}$	
2	¥21	<i>Y</i> 22		<i>У</i> 2 <i>m</i> ⋮	
	уп :	<i>Y1</i> 2	••••	yim ∶	
1	Val	Vu2		Van	

Example. Yeast data from Spellman et al. (1998)

be compared across slides.

Location on the array

Dye bias

- Elutriation: n = 5981 genes, m = 14 expression ratios taken at 30 minute intervals over the course of one cell cycle.
- Alpha-factor: n = 4579 genes, m = 18 expression ratios taken at 7 minute intervals over the course of two cell cycles.

**Normalization Issues** 

The observed intensity for each spot is determined by:

Amount of probes available for hybridization

• Amount of target DNA on the microarray

Experiment conditions of hybridization

Normalization is the process of removing systematic variation in

microarray experiments so that DNA expression levels can

# • Image Issues

1. Spot parameters

Layout, Distance between spot, Spot size

- 2. Spot Information
  - Spot intensity, Background, Quality measure
- 3. Where is the spot?

From: Yang et al. 2000

Background noise

Image issues

### **Background Noise** Spellman's analysis suggested that as many as 800 genes are cell cycle-regulated including 104 known to be cell cycle-regulated from previous work. However, most genes are not cell cycle-regulated. · Concentrate on genes whose expression profiles are "significantly" more variable than background noise; for example, those for which $s_i > ks$ where s is the pooled background standard deviation estimate. · Assuming Gaussian random noise $P\{s_i > ks\} \approx P\{\chi^2_{m-1} > k^2(m-1)\}$ **Example.** Alpha-factor data: n = 4579, m = 18s = median sample variance (excluding 78 known genes) k Expected Actual Known 1.0 75 2326 2080 1.2 490 1379 74 15 10 70 From: Yang et al. 2001 2.0 329 59 <1

Fourier analysis

Spellman et al. model the variation in log expression ratios over the course of the cell cycle for each gene using a linear combination of cosine and sine waves:

 $y(t) = \frac{a_0}{2} + a_1 \cos(2\pi t/T + \theta) + b_1 \sin(2\pi t/T + \theta)$ 

- T is the length/period of the cell cycle
- $\theta$  is the initial phase

**Fourier Analysis** 

• Times of peak expression above and below the mean are two solutions  $\left(T/2 \text{ apart}\right)$  of the equation:

 $\tan(2\pi t/T+\theta)=b_1/a_1$ 

Corresponding angles  $\varphi=2\pi t/T$  determine opposite points on the unit circle.

Sort genes according to angle or phase of peak expression

### Estimation

Estimates of the Fourier coefficients can be obtained by a least squares fit of the log expression profiles to the linear model

$$w_{ij} = \frac{a_{0i}}{2} + a_{1i}\cos(2\pi t_j/T) + b_{2i}\sin(2\pi t_j/T) + e_{ij}$$

- Goodness-of-fit of Fourier model to each gene's expression profile measured by R<sup>2</sup>.
- Using the alpha-factor data, 600 genes exceed an R<sup>2</sup> threshold of .4, including 53/78 known cell cycle-regulated genes.
- Assuming random Gaussian noise (with a sample size of 18)

$$P(R^2 > .4) = P(F_{2,15} > 5) = 0.0217.$$

The expected number is therefore  $4579 \times 0.0217 \approx 100$ 

Estimation







# **Singular Value Decomposition** Microarray matrix, *Y*, can be decomposed into a product involving two matrices with orthonormal columns and a diagonal matrix; i.e. $Y = USV^{T} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} s_{k}u_{k}v'_{k}$ • Alter et al, 2000 $u_{k} = k$ th eigenvector of *Y*'*Y* or *k*th "eigenarray"

Singular value decomposition

- $u_k = k$ th eigenvector of Y'Y or kth "eigenarray"  $v_k = k$ th eigenvector of YY' or kth "eigengene"
- Approximation using three components gives

 $y_{ij} = (s_1 u_{i1})v_{1j} + (s_2 u_{i2})v_{2j} + (s_3 u_{i3})v_{3j} + e_{ij}$ 

- s<sub>k</sub>u<sub>ik</sub>, k = 1,2,3 are precisely the least squares estimates obtained by regressing the *i*th gene's profile on the first three eigengenes.
- "By analogy" with Fourier model, estimate the phase of peak expression for ith gene as solution to

 $\tan(\phi_i) = \frac{s_3 u_{i3}}{s_2 u_{i2}}$ 









Classification

Stochastic search

<page-header><section-header><section-header>

## Classification

The cell cycle phase grouping is known for 104 genes. 78 of these are present in the alpha-factor data. These can be used as training sample to produce a gene classifier using a stochastic search algorithm

- Define boundaries between 5 cell cycle phases: S, S/G2, G2/M, M/G1, G1. This is equivalent to placing 5 radii on the circleplot, with radii falling midway between two adjacent genes.
- Select the radii that maximize the proportion of the training sample that is correctly classified.
- Number of ways of choosing 5 radii with 78 genes is approximately 27 million.



## Stochastic Search Algorithm

1. Fix 4 radii at current values

2. Move the remaining radius (j) to new position with probabilities

$$p_i = \frac{c_i/d_i + \lambda}{\sum_k (c_k/d_k + \lambda)}$$

where  $c_i/d_i$  are the numbers of genes correctly/incorrectly classified between radii j-1 and j+1.

- 3. One iteration consists of a move for all 5 radii.
- 4. Repeat for *M* iterations (say M = 20,000)
- 5. Sort iterations according to number of genes correctly classified.

Frequency of Visits	Number Correct	Classification
73	66	{4, 18, 59, 68, 72
59	66	{8, 18, 59, 68, 72]
57	66	{4,18,59,67,72
48	66	{8, 18, 59, 67, 72]
35	65	{5, 18, 59, 67, 72
34	65	{5, 18, 59, 68, 72
33	65	{8, 19, 59, 68, 72
33	65	{7,18,59,68,72
30	65	{8, 19, 59, 67, 72
30	65	{4, 19, 59, 67, 72
30	65	{3, 18, 59, 68, 72]
30	65	{3, 18, 59, 67, 72]
28	65	{9,18,59,68,72
28	65	{7.18,59,67,72
28	65	{4, 18, 59, 67, 73
27	65	{8, 18, 59, 67, 73
26	65	{4, 18, 59, 68, 73
25	65	{8, 18, 59, 67, 71
25	65	{4, 17, 59, 68, 72
24	65	{4.19,59,68,72

venty Classifications







Simultaneous Inferences

.

## Last Thoughts: Simultaneous Inferences

To identify differentially expressed genes, we have to control error rates in thousands of simultaneous hypotheses tests.

- 1. Multiple comparison techniques were explored in Dudoit et al. (2000)
- 2. False discovery rates approaches were studied in Tusher et al. (2001)
- 3. Empirical Bayes analysis was developed in Efron et al (2001)